

Message

---

**From:** Chang, Alice [Chang.Alice@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 6/16/2020 8:20:08 PM  
**To:** Medina, Dayana [Medina.Dayana@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: TX RH rule

Hi Dayana, no worries at all – just wanted to make sure we were clear on roles! Yes, that works just fine for us. I will pass the message along to Wendy and Ann.

---

**From:** Medina, Dayana <Medina.Dayana@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 16, 2020 3:43 PM  
**To:** Chang, Alice <Chang.Alice@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: TX RH rule

Hi Alice,

I apologize for the miscommunication or lack of communication with you on this matter. Our preference is to follow the normal process and have OAPPS (Wendy McQuilkin) forward the package to OP after OAR IO concurrence. I communicated this to Wendy earlier today and she is checking with Ann Campbell who had been under the impression that the Region would be forwarding to OP. Unless OAR/OAPPS tell us that they can't, our preference/plan is to have OAPPS handle the forwarding of the package. I will let you know when I hear back confirmation from Wendy whether or not they can do this for us. Would this work OK for OAQPS?

Thank you,

**Dayana Medina**

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6  
Regional Haze and SO2 Section (ARSH)  
1201 Elm Street, Suite 500  
Dallas, Texas 75270-2102  
214-665-7241

---

**From:** Chang, Alice <Chang.Alice@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 16, 2020 2:27 PM  
**To:** Medina, Dayana <Medina.Dayana@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** TX RH rule

Hi Dayana,

Just wanted to pop in with a quick question on what we concluded about moving the package forward. Did we decide that OAPPS (Wendy McQuilken) would forward the package to OP after OAR IO concurrence, or were we supposed to send you the concurrence for you guys to submit the package to OP yourselves?

Thanks!  
Alice

Alice Chang  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards  
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, D.C. 20460

202-564-2429